

Cooper, Kathy

2777

**From:** Miller, Sarah E.  
**Sent:** Monday, October 04, 2010 11:03 AM  
**To:** IRRC; Johnson, Leslie A. Lewis; Wilmarth, Fiona E.; Schalles, Scott R.; Totino, Michael  
**Subject:** FW: IRRC Website - New Message

---

**From:** Independent Regulatory Review Commission [mailto:No-Reply@irrc.state.pa.us]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 04, 2010 10:57 AM  
**To:** Help  
**Subject:** IRRC Website - New Message



**IRRC**

**Independent Regulatory Review Commission**

A new message has arrived from the IRRC Website

**First Name:** Kelly

**Last Name:** Jones

**Company:**

**Email:** [tuskajones@hotmail.com](mailto:tuskajones@hotmail.com)

**Subject:** Reg 2777

**Message:**

To Whom It May Concern: My name is Kelly Jones. I am a raw milk consumer and I respectfully request that you reject proposed regulation #2777 Department of Agriculture 2-160: Milk Sanitation. I am an intelligent, discriminating consumer and do not need protection from my farmer-neighbor or local market or store. If they provide an unsatisfactory product or fail to appropriately correct a problem, I will no longer purchase from them. Where we need government involvement is where the suppliers or markets are no longer our neighbors but rather large corporations where the consumer can't observe the operations. Larger operations are more complex, problems have much more far-reaching impacts and we have no direct meaningful recourse if there is a problem. The regulation needs to focus on those operations. Regulation could be much simpler if they were performance standards, similar to the USDA "organic certification" requiring that the end product achieves a desired standard. How that result is achieved is the producers' responsibility, not the State's. That would result in the State's role being to test for compliance, a function that could easily be contracted out, rather than inserting itself in micromanaging the operation. Again, I view the proposed regulation as excessive. These flaws warrant that the proposed regulation be rejected.

RECEIVED  
IRRC  
2010 OCT -4 A 11:06